UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,525	08/29/2005	Barry Sinclair Brewster	ISA-032.01	2520
63767 FOLEY HOAG	7590 10/27/201 , LLP (w/ISA)	EXAMINER		
PATENT GRO 155 SEAPORT	UP	SIEFKE, SAMUEL P		
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patent@foleyhoag.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/519,525	BREWSTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAM P. SIEFKE	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·—	<i>,</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x parte quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,30 and 31</u> is/are pending in the ap	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,30 and 31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,30 and 31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 5-30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jerome et al. (USPN 6,855,561).

Jerome teaches an assay device for detecting an analyte in a liquid sample, the assay device comprising:

- a casing, the casing comprising at least one window (52);
- a labeling region (34), the labeling region comprising a labeled binding agent (col. 16, lines 15-19); and

a nitrocellulose strip (18, example 1) located within the casing, the nitrocellulose strip being substantially opaque in a dry state and being translucent when contacted by the liquid sample (col. 4, lines 44-50), the nitrocellulose strip comprising:

(i) an analyte detection region (40) comprising an immobilized binding agent which binds the analyte, the analyte detection region located downstream of the labeling region (fig 1, ref. 40), wherein the analyte detection region is visible through the at least one window (52);

- (ii) a printed line (46) located underneath the nitrocellulose in the analyte detection region, wherein the printed line is on the side of the nitrocellulose strip that is not visible through the at least one window when the nitrocellulose strip is in a dry state; and
- (iii) a control region (fig. 4, 56), the control region located downstream of the analyte detection region (40), wherein the control region is visible through the at least one window (52); the nitrocellulose strip comprising an upper surface, a lower surface, and a line printed or deposited on the under the lower surface of the nitrocellulose strip;

wherein in use, the liquid sample contacts and migrates along the nitrocellulose strip, and wherein the printed line is visible to a user through the at least one window when the nitrocellulose strip is translucent,

where the line is oriented parallel with the direction of flow of the liquid (fig. 1, 56), and create a positive sign (fig. 1).

Jerome does not teach the printed line located on the lower surface of the nitrocellulose strip.

Jerome teaches a printed line (56) located on a separate surface 14 so that when the surface 14, test strip 18 and top casing are placed together, the sample wets the

test strip 18 and the printed line located underneath the test strip is visible thereby providing a user with an indication that the test strip has been wetted and the device is working correctly. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jerome to locate the printed line on the underside of the nitrocellulose test strip because there would be no change in functionality of the prior art if the line was arranged on the nitrocellulose strip. In re Japikse, 86 U.S.P.Q. 70.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5 and 30-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAM P. SIEFKE whose telephone number is (571)272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday 8am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, InSuk Bullock can be reached on 571-272-5954. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,525 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SAM P SIEFKE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1772